

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

United States District Court		DISTRICT	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
CASE TITLE HARLEY J. COON, Plaintiff		DOCKET NUMBER	C-3-81-337
ROBERT FROEHLICH, et al, Defendants		NAME OF JUDGE OR MAGISTRATE WALTER H. RICE	

Jury Verdict. This action came before the Court and a jury with the judicial officer named above presiding. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.

Decision by Court. This action came to trial or hearing before the Court with the judge (magistrate) named above presiding. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED

That the Plaintiff, Harley J. Coon recover from the defendants the sum of TWO HUNDRED SEVEN Dollars and no/100 cents (207.00) as compensatory damages, and further that the Plaintiff, Harley J. Coon recover from the defendants the sum of NINETEEN THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED Dollars and no/100 cents (19,500.00) punitive damages for a violation of Section 1983, and the sum of TEN THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED Dollars and no/100 cents (10,500.00) punitive damages for a violation of Section 1985, with interest thereon as provided by law and his costs of action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

**MAIL COPY TO CLIENT**

**FILED**

OCT 25 1983

KENNETH J. MURPHY, Clerk  
DAYTON, OHIO

CLERK KENNETH J MURPHY	DATE OCT 25, 1983
(BY) DEPUTY CLERK <i>[Signature]</i>	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION

HARLEY J. COON, :  
 :  
 Plaintiff, : Case No. C-3-81-337  
 :  
 vs. :  
 :  
 ROBERT FROEHLICH, et al., : INTERROGATORY #1  
 :  
 Defendants. :

-----

Did the Defendant Froehlich enforce the Beaver creek ordinance in a uniform manner?

       Yes  
  X   No

Check applicable answer.

John H. Lozan  
Gloria Nell  
Primo J. Beal

Dorothy A. Weber  
Norman P. Woodford  
Donald E. Plummer

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION

HARLEY J. COON, :  
 :  
 Plaintiff, : Case No. C-3-81-337  
 :  
 vs. :  
 :  
 ROBERT FROEHLICH, et al., : INTERROGATORY #2  
 :  
 Defendants. :

-----

Did the Defendants Buck, Kaminski, Gordon and/or Brailey  
conspire with Defendant Froehlich in the removal of the signs?

- |                 |                 |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| a) Buck         | b) Kaminski     |
| 1) <u>X</u> Yes | 1) <u>X</u> Yes |
| 2) _____ No     | 2) _____ No     |
| c) Gordon       | d) Brailey      |
| 1) <u>X</u> Yes | 1) <u>X</u> Yes |
| 2) _____ No     | 2) _____ No     |

Check applicable answer as to each of the four Defendants.

John H. Logan  
Gloria Noel  
Phyllis J. Beal

Dorothy A. Weber  
Norman P. Woodford  
Dorothy E. Plummer

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION

HARLEY J. COON,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROBERT FROEHLICH, et al.,

Defendants.

:

:

:

:

:

Case No. C-3-81-337

INTERROGATORY # 3

-----  
Were Defendant Froehlich's actions taken under color of  
law?

Yes

No

Check applicable answer.

John H. Logan

Gloria Nell

Pyperis J. Beal

Dorothy A. Weber

Norman P. Woodford

Donald E. Plummer

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION

HARLEY J. COON, :  
Plaintiff, : Case No. C-3-81-337  
vs. :  
ROBERT FROEHLICH, et al., : INTERROGATORY #4  
Defendants. :

-----

Did the Defendants remove only those signs attached to the utility poles or did they remove signs in addition to those attached to utility poles?

only those attached to utility poles  
 removed signs in addition to those attached to utility poles

Check applicable answer.

John S. Lozan  
Gloria Hill  
Rayni J. Beal

Sarah A. Weber  
Norman P. Woodford  
Donald E. Plummer

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION

HARLEY J. COON,

:

Plaintiff,

:

Case No. C-3-81-337

vs.

:

ROBERT FROEHLICH, et al.,

:

INTERROGATORY #5

Defendants.

:

-----

If you have answered Interrogatory #4 that the Defendants removed signs in addition to those attached to utility poles, which Defendant or Defendants so acted?

- Buck  
 Kaminski  
 Gordon  
 Brailey

Check applicable answer or answers.

John H. Logan  
Gloria Noll  
Phyllis J. Beak

Garth A. Weber  
Norman P. Woodford  
Donald E. Plummer

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION

HARLEY J. COON, :  
Plaintiff, : Case No. C-3-81-337  
vs. :  
ROBERT FROEHLICH, et al., : INTERROGATORY #6  
Defendants. :

-----

Has the Plaintiff established by a preponderance of the evidence that he belonged to a class of people that shared the same objective regarding the Charter election?

Yes  
 No

Check applicable answer.

John H. Lozan  
Gloria Nell  
Raymond J. Beal

Corothy A. Weber  
Norman P. Woodford  
Donald Plummer

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION

HARLEY J. COON, :  
 :  
 Plaintiff, : Case No. C-3-81-337  
 :  
 vs. :  
 :  
 ROBERT FROEHLICH, et al., : INTERROGATORY #7  
 :  
 Defendants. :

-----

Has the Plaintiff established by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant's or Defendants' intent was directed at depriving the right of freedom of expression of the class?

Yes  
 No

Check applicable answer.

John G. Logan  
Gloria Nell  
Regis J. Beal

Dorothy A. Weber  
Norman P. Woodford  
Donald Plummer

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION

HARLEY J. COON, :  
 :  
 Plaintiff, : Case No. C-3-81-337  
 :  
 vs. :  
 :  
 ROBERT FROEHLICH, et al., : INTERROGATORY #8  
 :  
 Defendants. :  
 :  
 -----

If you have answered Interrogatory #7 "Yes", which of the  
Defendants had such an intent?

X  Froehlich  
 X  Buck  
 X  Kaminski  
 X  Gordon  
 X  Brailey

Check applicable answer or answers.

John H. Logan  
Gloria Noll  
Pyrus J. Beal

Dorothy A. Weber  
Norman P. Woodford  
\_\_\_\_\_

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION

HARLEY J. COON, :  
Plaintiff, : Case No. C-3-81-337  
vs. :  
ROBERT FROEHLICH, et al., : INTERROGATORY #9  
Defendants. :

-----  
What are the total amounts of compensatory damages, if any, sustained by the Plaintiff as a result of the Defendant's or Defendants' action or actions?

1) Value of all signs attached to utility poles	\$ <u>116.00</u>
2) Value of signs in addition to those attached to utility poles	\$ <u>39.00</u>
3) Value, if any, of the Plaintiff's time for erecting signs	
a) on utility poles	\$ <u>26.00</u>
b) other than those attached to utility poles	\$ <u>26.00</u>
SUBTOTAL	\$ <u>52.00</u>
TOTAL	\$ <u>207.00</u>

(Insert dollar amount on applicable line or lines)

John H. Logan  
Gloria Nell  
Regina J. Beal

Bartholomew A. Weber  
Norman P. Woodford  
Donald Plummer

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION

HARLEY J. COON, :  
 :  
 Plaintiff, : Case No. C-3-81-337  
 :  
 vs. :  
 :  
 ROBERT FROEHLICH, et al., : INTERROGATORY #10  
 :  
 Defendants. :

-----  
To what amount of punitive damage, if any, is the Plaintiff  
entitled?

\$ 30,000.00 (Insert dollar amount)

- a) Of the amount, what amount is attributable  
to a violation of Section 1983? \$ 19,500.00
- b) Of the amount, what amount is attributable  
to a violation of Section 1985? \$ 10,500.00

John D. Lozan  
Glenn Hill  
Phyllis J. Beal

Dorothy A. Weber  
Norman P. Woodford  
Jonah Blumma